UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,515	12/19/2005	Jason Peter Brown	060-004	4660	
36844 CERMAK NAI	7590 07/22/201 <sup>.</sup> <b>XAJIMA</b> LLP	EXAMINER			
	treet, Suite 210	LI, QIAN JANICE			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/22/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

CGOODE@CermakNakajima.COM IP@CermakNakajima.com ACERMAK@CermakNakajima.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/511,515	BROWN, JASON PETER		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Q. JANICE LI	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c							
NOTE: <u>The new limitation "germline" requires further search and consideration</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>							
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7,8,18-23 and 25-29</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7,6,16-23 and 23-23.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6,9-17,24,30-33 a.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>nd 36</u> .						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The applicant maintained the arguments 1) the Examine rejection belong to different fields of art, and the examine appropriate features from these documents. These arug	r is applying hindsight analysis; 2) t er provided no teaching that guides	the four references cit the skilled person to	<u>ed in the</u> select the				
maintains.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
	/Q. JANICE LI/ Primary Examiner Art U	nit 1633					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No.